



CWP-14469-2026

-2-

years of service as on 28.05.2014. Despite being fully eligible under the said policy, the services of the petitioner have not been regularized, whereas several employees junior to the petitioner have already been granted the benefit of regularization. The matter was kept pending on the ground that the policy of 2014 had been set aside by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.17206 of 2014 titled as ***Yogesh Tyagi and another Vs. State of Haryana and others***. However, the said judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this Court has been set aside by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Civil Appeal No.1996 of 2024 arising out of SLP No.27349 of 2018*** titled as ***Madan Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*** decided on 16.04.2026. Further, the case of the petitioner is covered by the judgments rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jaggo vs. Union of India 2025 AIR SC 296***; ***Vinod Kumar and others vs. Union of India and others (2024) 9 SCC 327***; and ***Shripal and Another vs. Nagar Nigam, Ghaziabad 2025 SCC OnLine SC 221***.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner is decided by respondents No.2 to 5 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5 submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 to 5 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

5. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondents No.2 to 5 are directed to consider the

representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner in light of the afore mentioned judgments and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondents No.2 to 5.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No