



**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10185-2017

Date of decision: 13.02.2026

Akash Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Saachi Mahajan, Advocate,
Mr. Shiv Charanjit, Advocate,
Mr. Samridham Goyal, Advocate and
Ms. Amrit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondent-authorities to regularize the services of the petitioner, who is working as a Clerk-cum-Computer Typist since July, 2010 without any break in service.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 at the outset submits that the present civil writ petition has become infructuous as in terms of the directions issued by this Court, a speaking order was passed which is under challenge in CWP No.12434 of 2022.

3. In view of the above, the present civil writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

13.02.2026

<i>Neha</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No