



**127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26669-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.05.2026**

PARDEEP

...PETITIONER

V/S

MANJU AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

Petitioner assails order dated 01.05.2026 of learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Meham, District Rohtak, in Execution Petition No. CRM-7/2026 titled *Manju and Another Vs Pardeep*, vide which conditional warrants of arrest have been issued against him for non-payment of arrears of maintenance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide judgment dated 31.01.2025, learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Meham awarded ₹9,000/- per month to respondent No. 1 and ₹4,000/- per month to respondent No. 2. He further submits that out of the total arrears of more than ₹10,00,000/-, petitioner has paid up ₹7,38,304/-, a fact admitted in the amended execution petition, filed on 01.05.2026. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner was a public servant and already, 1/3rd of his salary was attached under orders of the Court dated 28.03.2025 (Annexure P-4). It is urged that the petitioner bonafidely intended to abide by the orders of the Court and make payment of ₹2,21,000/-. However, since he was in financial distress he may be given some concession in the form of payment of the amount in installments.

Although, there does not appear to be any illegality in the impugned order, offer of the petitioner to pay up the entire arrears appears to be bonafide. In the larger interest of justice, an opportunity must be given to the petitioner to make payment of arrears. Accordingly, petitioner is directed to pay half of the pending arrears of maintenance till 29.05.2026 and remaining half on or before 15.07.2026.

Impugned order dated 01.05.2026 is set aside, subject to the payment of entire arrears, as assessed by learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Meham, District Rohtak vide order dated 01.05.2026.

Till 29.05.2026, the conditional warrants of arrest issued against the petitioner shall be kept in abeyance. Needless to add that in case payment is not made, learned Family Court, Meham, District Rohtak shall be at liberty to again issue conditional warrants of arrest.

This order is being passed without issuing notice to the respondents in order to save their litigation expenses and to ensure payment of arrears of maintenance.

Petition stands disposed of on aforesaid terms.

Pending CRM(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

12.05.2026

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No