



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26541-2026
DECIDED ON: 12.05.2026**

BALJINDER KAUR**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.0066 dated 07.04.2026, under sections 319 (2), 318 (4), 336 (2), 336 (3), 340 (2), and 61 (2) of BNS, 2023, (corresponding to sections 419, 420, 465, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC), Police Station Navi Baradari, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

2. FIR in the present case was got registered at the instance of complainant Madhu Bala, Reader in the Court of Sh. Vishesh Kamboj, ASJ, Jalandhar, with the allegations that the case titled 'State of Punjab Vs. Sonu alias Kali and Others' SC/367/2024 was pending in the said court for 07.04.2026. Accused Karan Kumar was admitted to bail by this Court (Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court) vide order dated 16.09.2025 passed in CRM No.31829 of 2025. Consequently, an application was filed before the said court on 19.09.2025 for furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds. Same was allowed and surety bonds were furnished on behalf of Gurmukh Singh son of Bhagat Ram, resident of village Sarhali, Tehsil Nurmahal, District Jalandhar. However, later on, an application bearing no.CRM/563/2026 was filed by Gurmukh Singh son of Bhagat Singh, resident of village Sarhali, Tehsil Nurmahal, District Jalandhar, stating therein that he never furnished surety bonds on behalf

of accused Karan Kumar and the same have been furnished by someone else by impersonating himself as Gurmukh Singh. It appears that surety bonds furnished before the said court on 19.09.2025 have been furnished by some unknown person by impersonating himself as Gurmukh Singh son of Bhagat Singh, resident of village Sarhali, Tehsil Nurmahal, District Jalandhar by furnishing a false affidavit and he was identified by Harjinder Singh, Panch, Ward no.2, Gram Panchayat Sarhali, Tehsil Nurmahal, District Jalandhar. Therefore, as per orders of learned Presiding Officer, *prima facie* offence under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) of BNS is made out against the impersonator, identifier Harjinder Singh, the person who approached the counsel for furnishing of surety bonds and accused Karan Kumar himself.

Therefore, as per the orders of learned Presiding Officer, SHO was directed to register the FIR against aforesaid persons to verify as to who impersonated Gurmukh Singh son of Bhagat Singh, resident of Village Sarhali, Tehsil Nurmahal, District Jalandhar. During investigation, accused Harjinder Singh suffered a disclosure statement on 10.04.2026, whereby he alleged that, in case no.75 dated 21.04.2024, u/s 302, 148, 149, 120-B, 201 IPC, P.S. Sadar Commissionerate, Jalandhar, Amarjit Singh had appeared in the court as fake Gurmukh Singh son of Bhagat Singh, resident of village Sarhali, Tehsil Nurmahal, District Jalandhar and furnished fake bail bonds/surety bonds in favour of accused Karan Kumar. Accused Harjinder Singh became a fake Panchayat member of village Sarhali, District Jalandhar and identified Amarjit Singh as the real Gurmukh Singh. Accused Baljinder Kaur (petitioner herein) and Pargat Singh alias Laddi had given fake aadhar card with Amarjit Singh's photo on it and fake ID card of Panchayat member and stamp of Namberdar for furnishing the fake bail bonds/surety bonds of accused Karan Kumar. They had also given a sum of Rs.1000/- each to him and Amarjit Singh. On the basis of the said disclosure statement, accused Baljinder Kaur (petitioner herein) and Pargat Singh alias Laddi have been nominated as accused in the present case vide GD no.24 dated 10.04.2026.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and, on instructions from ASI Sucha Singh, submits that the matter would have stood on a different footing had this been the first allegation against the petitioner. However, it was pointed out that on an earlier occasion also, petitioner had been apprehended by the Presiding Officer while furnishing bail bonds, pursuant to which FIR No.9 dated 06.02.2023 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC came to be registered at Police Station Baradari, Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

5. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, it appears that although the petitioner is a woman, but her conduct reflects repeated involvement in acts of fraud, including attempts to mislead the Court. Consequently, this Court does not find any justifiable or substantial ground to extend the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. **Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

12.05.2026

Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>