



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

Date of Decision: 27.05.2026

1) CM-8278-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-13660-2016 (O&M)

Jyotsana Goel @ Jyotsna Bhardwaj and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

2) CM-8279-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-11969-2016 (O&M)

Mansa Rani Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

3) CM-8842-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-11962-2016 (O&M)

Rekha Rani Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

4) CM-8831-CWP-2026 in/and CWP-11944-2016 (O&M)

Vikas Sharma Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.



TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the main petitions are taken on Board today itself.

2. These petitions have been filed *inter alia* seeking regularisation of the petitioners in service, who are working as Lecturers in Computer Science/Commerce/Management under the respondent Department.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that in terms of the latest decision of the Supreme Court, dated 16.04.2026, rendered in *Madan Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others*, 2026 INSC 379, the petitioners are entitled to regularisation under the Government notification dated 16.06.2014. At this stage, they will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make an appropriate representation in each of the cases before the respondents raising the said grievance, which may be ordered to be decided within a specified period. All relevant particulars of the petitioners' services which entitle them to the claimed benefit will be mentioned therein.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case such representation(s) are filed by the petitioners within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by respondent no.2 - Director, Higher Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law in the light of *Madan Singh* case *ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation(s).

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petitions being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.



7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner(s) in each of the cases.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the case file(s) of connected matter(s).

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

27.05.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No