



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP-14351-2026 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 26.05.2026**

Mewa Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (PUDA)

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

**Present:** Mr. Yagyadeep, Advocate  
and Mr. Davinder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

**CM-8834-CWP-2026**

Prayer in the instant application filed under Section 151 of CPC read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for substituting and replacing The Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (Employees Service) Regulations, 1999 dated 10.08.1999 (Annexure P-1) and to exempt the applicant/petitioner from filing the certified copy of the same.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the substituted copy of The Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (Employees Service) Regulations, 1999 dated



10.08.1999 is taken on record as Annexure P-1, subject to all just exceptions. The applicant/petitioner is exempted from filing the certified copy thereof. The Registry is directed to tag the substituted Annexure P-1 at an appropriate place.

**CWP-14351-2026 (O&M)**

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, for quashing the impugned reply/order dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-21) whereby the respondent has refused to grant annual increments and all other service benefits including benefit of 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> pay commissions to the petitioner. Further a writ of *mandamus* has been sought, directing the respondents to grant all the annual increments which were withheld by the respondent illegally along with arrears and interest @ 18% per annum from the date of accrual till actual realization.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that the competent authority has decided to withdraw the impugned reply/order dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-21) and the instant writ petition would be treated as a comprehensive representation and the speaking order specifying the objective standards of reasons and justice would be passed after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to the respondent for a time-bound consideration and decision thereof by passing a speaking order.
4. Keeping in view the stand taken by learned counsel for the respondent, the respondent/competent authority is directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the case of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent.
5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

26.05.2026  
*yakub*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |