



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

FAO-435-2008 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 11.02.2026

Lovkesh Gandhi

...Appellant

VS.

Pardeep Kumar and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. None has put in appearance on behalf of the parties on the last date of hearing i.e 28.01.2026 and Registry was directed to issue notice to the parties and to their counsels. As per office report, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has been informed through mail. Notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that he has left this address

3. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.

4. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

5. Liberty is however granted to the appellant to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.

6. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

11.02.2026

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No