



CWP-14524-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

140

CWP-14524-2026

Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

Jagbiro and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 5

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to pay them compensation on account of death of their family member by electrocution.

2. As per petition, Sh. Mahabir Singh on 26.07.2022 while working on his agricultural land came in contact with electric wires and suffered electric shock. He was brought to the hospital where Doctors declared him dead. As per post-mortem report, he died due to electric shock. The petitioners are claiming compensation. The deceased was husband of petitioner No.1 and father of petitioner Nos.2 and 3. As per notification dated 08.07.2019, there is strict liability of Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (for short '**Nigam**') in case of fatal accident. Paragraph No.11 of the notification which creates strict liability of the Nigam reads as: -

“11. Private Person for Fatal Accident & Non-Fatal Accidents

UHBVN is engaged in the hazardous activity and risky for the human life and thus UHBVN owns strict liability for compensation to the private person. Accordingly, the compensation to the private person shall be payable in case of fatal as well as non-fatal accident irrespective of the reasons for such accident as the electricity system is open to the public. The compensation amount shall be payable as per provision of the Employees Compensation Act, 1923. However, this compensation shall be applicable for the accident cases occurring with the electrical network of the UHBVN and not in private premises.”

3. On being confronted with aforesaid paragraph of the policy, learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 5 submits that competent authority would consider petitioner’s claim and an appropriate order in the light of aforesaid policy would be passed within 6 weeks from today.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.
5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.
6. The respondents are hereby directed to consider petitioners’ claim and pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No