



CWP-14396-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CWP-14396-2026

Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

Manisha Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

The Superintending Engineer (SE), Punjab State Power Corporation

Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Ruchi Jain, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Chetan Juneja, Advocate for respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 10.03.2026 whereby her application dated 27.01.2026 for release of temporary electricity connection for her residential plot has been rejected. She is further seeking direction to respondents to decide her representation dated 19.03.2026.

2. The petitioner is a resident of District Patiala. She is the owner in possession of one plot purchased on 18.06.2025 located in Friends Enclave, Patiala (for short '**Colony**'). The Colony was developed by Jagdev Singh (for short '**Coloniser**') under the provisions of Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995. The petitioner filed application dated 27.01.2026 to the respondents for temporary electricity connection for construction of her house. Respondent No.3 vide memo dated 10.03.2026 rejected her application on the ground that Local Distribution System has not

**CWP-14396-2026****-2-**

been handed over by Coloniser to PSPCL. She served legal notice dated 19.03.2026 upon the respondents for urgent release of temporary electricity connection but the same has not been addressed till date.

3. Mr. Chetan Juneja, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of respondents, submits that it is factually correct that respondent has released connection to 7-8 residents as per directions of this Court. There are about 50 more residents who have been granted connection, however, departmental inquiry is pending against officials who had granted connections.

4. The petitioner is seeking temporary connection for the purpose of construction of her house. She cannot be denied connection due to lapse on the part of builder. The respondent has already granted connection to similarly situated persons.

5. In the backdrop, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondents to release temporary connection to the petitioner.

6. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.05.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No