



CRWP-5369-2026

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5369-2026
Date of decision: 04.06.2026

Saruchi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate and
Mr. Pardeep Athwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashwani Bhatia, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Saruchi	Karambir
Age (in years)	21 years	41 years
Date of marriage	05.05.2026	
Rite	Hindu	
Represented on	05.05.2026 (Annexure P-5)	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, Haryana	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 6	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have

**CRWP-5369-2026**

solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 05.05.2026 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, Haryana, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Looking at the difference in age of petitioner No. 1-Saruchi and petitioner No. 2-Karambir, interaction was done inside Chamber with petitioner No. 1 – Sarchi in the presence of Ms. Anmol Sharma, Law Researcher and Ms. Surekha, Law Researcher, wherein she expressed that she is willing to live with petitioner No. 2 and stated that both were earlier unmarried and it is their first marriage.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, Haryana to look into the representation dated 05.05.2026 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

5. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

04.06.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable :	Yes /No