



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-14755-2026

Date of Decision : May 13, 2026

RAJ KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present : Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 27.11.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.3 whereby the claim of the petitioner for release of leave encashment, has been rejected.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the perusal of the impugned order dated 27.11.2025 (Annexure P-4) would show that the respondents while rejecting the claim of the petitioner have relied upon the Haryana Civil Services (Leave) Rules, 2016. He submits that as the petitioner has been dismissed from service in the year 2010, the abovesaid Rules are not applicable, as such, the same could not have been taken into consideration for the purpose of grant of leave encashment. Learned counsel, on instruction from the petitioner, submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a representation to the respondents claiming grant of leave encashment relying

on the Punjab Civil Service Rules Volume II, as applicable to the petitioner at the time of passing of order of dismissal.

3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

4. However, it is made clear that filing of the representation by the petitioner will not amount to condoning the delay in approaching the competent authority.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

May 13, 2026
ajaysharma

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No