



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5408-2026

Date of decision : 07.05.2026

Rohilla and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 and 21 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 and 2 to provide protection to the life and personal liberty of the petitioners who apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents and not to interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners at the behest of private respondents No.3 to 7 and other relatives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.3 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.3 to 7. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 01.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur to decide the representation dated 01.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

07.05.2026
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No