



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

208 CRM-M-26144-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 22.05.2026.

Mohd. AbuzarPetitioner.
U.T. of ChandigarhRespondent.
VERSUS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Jasmeet Singh Ghumman, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of petitioner	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Mohd. Abuzar (19 years)	108	03.09.2025	318(4), 336(3), 340(2), 338, 61(2) of BNS	Cyber Crime	Chandigarh

2. As per case of prosecution, an amount of Rs.65,000/- was found deposited in the account of petitioner, however, the said amount was withdrawn from th account by the petitioner as well as his co-accused Mohd. Faizan Khan.

3. Vide order dated 06.05.2026 passed by this Court in CRM-M-

3115-2026, co-accused Mohd. Faizan Khan has been ordered to be released on bail, after the undertaking given by him in regard to payment of the said amount i.e. Rs.65,000/- to the complainant.

4. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner informs that an amount of Rs.65,000/- has been paid to the complainant before the trial Court and such fact is even confirmed by learned counsel for respondent-UT on instructions from SI Kulwinder Kaur.

5. The petitioner is stated to be inside jail since for the last more than 06 months i.e. since 21.11.2025 and in view of the totality of circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate to consider the plea of bail to the petitioner as further incarceration of the petitioner inside the jail without proving of charges would be improper.

Considering the above said circumstances in totality, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Consequently, the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected

to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

9. **Petition stands disposed of accordingly.**

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No