



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

237

CRM-M-26122-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.05.2026

ABHI @ ABHI KALAYAN

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J.

1. This petition for bail is the second petition, filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of ‘the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023’. This petition has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No.22 dated 27.02.2025, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 109, 126(2), 132, 221, 303(2), 190, 191(3) [Sections 110, 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 117(3) added later on] of ‘the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023’ and Section 27



of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Batala, District Gurdaspur.

2. The FIR of this case came into being at the instance of 'Kamla Bhatti alias Baggison', hereinafter being referred to as "complainant" only. It was stated by the above-named complainant that on 26.02.2025 at about 08:30 P.M. he was standing in the street where his friends, namely 'Rajinder Singh alias Raja' and 'Satinder Pal Singh alias Lucky' came on 'Activa'. According to complainant, thereafter, 'Rajan Bhatti', 'Martin', 'Danish', 'Abhi', 'Pardeep Kumar', each of them armed with "Dattars" (Sickles), along with 'Shubh' armed with 'Sword' (a sharp-edged weapon) and four/five unknown persons came. As per complainant, 'Pardeep Kumar' exhorted to teach a lesson to them and thereafter, they launched an assault upon 'Rajinder Singh alias Raja' and when 'Satinder Pal Singh alias Lucky' tried to intervene, he, too, came under attack and suffered multiple injuries.

3. In addition to above, the complainant also stated that when the above-mentioned fight was going on, few police officials arrived on the spot, and when they tried to intervene, 'Shubh' fired two gunshots, out of which one hit the police official.

4. It is the case of the prosecution that pursuant to above-mentioned incident, formal FIR of this case was lodged and the investigation taken up.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Hence, the service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with. The learned State Counsel has filed custody certificate of the petitioner. The same be taken on record. No formal reply has been filed by the State. However the



learned State counsel has orally opposed the present petition.

7. Heard.

8. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that this is second petition for bail filed by the petitioner, and that former petition for bail filed by the petitioner was dismissed on 13.01.2026. According to learned counsel for the petitioner after the dismissal of first bail petition, there is change in circumstances as the charge in this case has been framed and there is no progress in trial. It has also been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, and that there is no reliable evidence showing the involvement of petitioner in the commission of crime.

9. The learned State counsel has controverted the above-mentioned arguments. According to learned State counsel in the present case there is no significant change in circumstance from the date of dismissal of former bail petition, and that the benefit of bail to co-accused 'Naveen Bhatti' has been denied on 12.05.2026 in CRM-M-12719-2026.

10. The record has been perused carefully.

11. A perusal of record shows that the role attributed to the petitioner is quite significant in the commission of crime and his name finds mentioned in the FIR. Otherwise also the merits of the case have already been considered in the order dated 13.01.2026, whereby the first petition for bail filed by the petitioner was dismissed. Since from the date of dismissal of former bail petition, no significant change in circumstance has taken place. It is hereby held that the present petition is devoid of merit and deserves dismissal. The same is hereby **dismissed** accordingly.



12. All other pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed off, accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No