



CWP-14296-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-14296-2026

Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

Virender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 09.04.2026 whereby respondent No.2-Director General of Industries & Commerce-cum-Registrar General of Societies, Haryana has remanded the matter to respondent No.6-District Registrar, Firms & Societies, Jind for fresh consideration on merits. He is further seeking stay of order dated 09.04.2026.

2. As per petition, the petitioner was elected as President of Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Sabha, Narwana on 02.01.2025. The elections of the Governing Body of above-mentioned Sabha were approved by respondent No.6-District Registrar on 13.01.2025. Respondent No.10-Jile Singh approached this Court by way of *CWP No.30123 of 2024* challenging the appointment of *adhoc* committee which came to be dismissed vide order dated 22.05.2025. Thereafter, respondent No.9-Shri Ram preferred appeal against order dated 13.01.2025 before respondent No.4-State Registrar of Societies, Haryana which was dismissed vide order dated 27.03.2025.

Respondent No.9 under Section 40 of 2012 Act preferred a petition before

District Registrar who vide order dated 12.05.2025 dismissed the same.

**CWP-14296-2026****-2-**

Respondent No.9 preferred appeal which came to be dismissed vide order dated 20.08.2025. Feeling aggrieved from order dated 20.08.2025, respondent No.9 preferred *Appeal No.761 of 2025* before respondent No.2 which has been disposed of vide order dated 09.04.2026 by remanding the matter to District Registrar for fresh consideration on merits.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Appellate Authority has wrongly remanded the matter to District Registrar. There was no reason to remand the matter.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

5. From the perusal of record, it is evident that District Registrar rejected respondent's application which by no stretch of imagination could be called as an order. The election of Governing Body has not been set aside by any Authority. The petitioner is holding post of President. The Appellate Authority has simply remanded the matter to Adjudicating Authority-District Registrar. At this stage, there is no prejudice to the petitioner. The District Registrar is supposed to pass a fresh order in accordance with law. If any adverse order is passed, the petitioner would get multiple remedies to challenge the said order.

6. In the backdrop, this Court is of the considered opinion that no interference, at this stage, is warranted. The petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.05.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No