


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC:020235



COCP-2018-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.02.2026

DHAN SINGH AND ANR**..Petitioners**

Versus

VIKRAM JEET DUGGAL AND OTHERS**..Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet S. Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 04.10.2008 passed in CWP-4886-2008.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is public spirited person and respondent No.4 has not made any efforts to do the needful regarding the illegal encroachment, leading from Shivshakti Vatika to Paras Enclave.
3. In compliance of order dated 04.10.2008, compliance affidavit of Vikram Jeet Duggal, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala dated 29.12.2020, compliance affidavit of Jaspreet Singh, Sub Inspector, the then SHO, Police Station, City Samana now SHO P.S. Lahori Gate, Patiala dated 30.12.2020, compliance affidavit of Jaswant Singh PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Samana, District Patiala dated 21.12.2020 and compliance affidavit of Rajesh Sharma, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Samana dated 12.03.2021 have already been filed which shows that there is no disobedience on the part of respondents.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 contends that when the present contempt petition was filed, respondent No.4 was not a party and thereafter, on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner R_4 was impleaded.

5. A perusal of the file shows that there is nothing in the pleadings to show as to how the contempt is made out against all the official respondents.

6. Further a perusal of compliance affidavits dated 29.12.2020, 30.12.2020, 21.12.2020 and 12.03.2021 shows that there is no contempt made out against the respondents. And CM-24009-CII-2025 filed for placing on record copy of orders dated 08.02.2021 (Annexure P-7) and 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division) Samana reveals that it is personal dispute between the petitioner and some private persons and the petitioner trying to resolve his personal dispute under the garb of public spirited person by filing the present contempt petition, which is not even maintainable.

7. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is ***dismissed***.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 10th, 2026

Ayub/Saahil

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*