



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

(5)

LPA-618-2022

Date of Decision: 22.01.2026

Paramjit Singh and another

....Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

(6)

CWP-24158-2022

Mohit Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

(7)

CWP-22559-2022 (O&M)

Shruti Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellant (in LPA-618-2022).

None for the petitioners (in CWPs No.24158 and 22559 of 2022).

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. J.S.Cooner, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5 (in LPA-618-2022).

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. No one appears on behalf of the appellants/petitioners.
2. The question which was raised in the present appeal/petitions is



LPA-618-2022
CWP-24158-2022 and
CWP-22559-2022 (O&M)

-2-

whether, the eviction can be ordered or not by authorities exercising jurisdiction under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (for short, 'the 2007 Act'). The said question has already been answered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Urmila Dixit vs. Sunil Sharan Dixit***, 2025 (1) RCR (Civil) 435, probably keeping in view the said principle of settled law that right to seek eviction has been treated as inherent under the 2007 Act, the appellants/petitioners might not be interested in pursuing the present appeals/petitions.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.
5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURJ)
JUDGE

January 22, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No