

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-M-26395-2025(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 5th March, 2026

Badal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

* * *

Present : Mr.M.D.Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana assisted by
ASI Naresh, PS Uklana, District Hisar.

* * *

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
(now Section 483 of BNSS, 2023), for grant of regular bail to the
petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a
criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed
hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	Distt.
Badal	006	05.01.2022	147, 148, 149, 323,380, 458, 307, 285, 506 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act (Later 147, 148, 149, 380 IPC	Uklana	Hisar



			deleted and Sections 181, 188, 395, 397, 459 IPC and Section 25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act and Sections 51 & 57 of Disaster Management Act, 2005 inserted)		
--	--	--	---	--	--


2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been behind bars since 14.09.2022 i.e. more than three years period and he be allowed to be released on bail because his name was involved only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. He further submits that statement of one of the star witnesses Rajbir has already been recorded as PW10.

3. On advance notice and in response to the arguments addressed by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel, produces the custody certificate dated 02.03.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

As per the custody certificate, in the present case, petitioner has already undergone 03 years 05 months and 13 days period inside jail.

4. Learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that eye witness Rajbir has identified all the accused including the petitioner in the Court during trial.

5. I have gone through the statement of PW10 Rajbir, copy of which has been supplied by learned counsel for the petitioner in Court today. A perusal of this statement shows that this witness has



specifically identified accused Naresh, **Badal (Petitioner)**, Rajkumar, Sanjay and Vinod to be present inside the house, but fled away. Further, the custody certificate dated 02.03.2026 makes it clear that petitioner is involved in other cases as well. The details of other cases is as under:

Sr. No.	Date of case (FIR No., dated, Section, Police Station, District	Status of Case (Trial pending or concluded or yet to commence)	Remarks (in jail, Undergone, Acquitted, on bail
1.	FIR No.577 dated 17.10.2017 under Sections 201, 394, 397, 457 IPC, Section 25 of Arms Act, PS City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad	Trial Pending	In Jail
2.	FIR No.11 dated 19.01.2021 under Sections 120-B, 302, 395, 397, 458, 460 IPC, Section 25(A) and 25(B) Arms Act, PS Kalanwali, District Sirsa	Trial Pending	In Jail

5. In view of the above, this Court finds no ground to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

6. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed, at this stage. However, it will be open for the petitioner to file a fresh petition after examination of all the witnesses before the Court concerned and by appending their statements therewith.

March 05, 2026

gian

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No