



**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14247-2026

Date of Decision : 08.05.2026

SMT. MARTINA DOHHEN @ MARTINA CHAUDHARY

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Karan Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Pal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed seeking quashing of the impugned Notification dated 08.05.2026 (Annexure P-4) whereby, the area upto 15 meters from the protected limits and further beyond up to 30 meters adjoining the protected monuments (in the instant case i.e. the Haveli of Chowdhary Kashi Ram and Old Kacheri) have been declared prohibited and regulated area respectively and for issuing directions to respondent No.1 to compensate the petitioner in respect of all kinds of restrictions being put up for the use of the property belonging to the petitioner in accordance with the provisions of the Haryana Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archeological Sites and Remains Act, 1964.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that such kind of restrictions put without compensation has been held to be bad by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.10737 of 2013 titled

“Thakur Rangji Maharaj Virajman Mandir, Vrindavan vs. Union of India and ors.”, decided on 20.03.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondents be directed to compensate the petitioner in case, the restrictions are to be put upon the use of the land, which is actually in the ownership of the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Anu Pal, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, any representation is reduced into writing and submitted for seeking compensation of the land on which restrictions have been put up keeping in view the Archeological value of the place, the same will be taken into consideration and also will be decided keeping in view the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in ***Thakur Rangji’s case (supra)***, by the competent authority within a period of ***eight weeks*** from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner as well for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage, with liberty to file an appropriate representation.

7. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

08-05-2026
Sapna Goyal

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO