



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14104-2026

Date of decision : 06.05.2026

Harmeet Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate and
Ms. Jaswinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl.A.G.Punjab (on advance copy received).

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ratik Chatrath Kapur, Advocate for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that in Municipal Council Budhlada, the reservation is not being done in a manner required and the petitioners have already raised the grievance vide representation dated 17.04.2026 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending and the petitioners would be satisfied in case time bound direction is given.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl.A.G.Punjab and Mr. Ratik Chatrath Kapur, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.5 and submits that in case representation dated 17.04.2026 (Annexure P-6) has been received, and is still

pending consideration, appropriate order will be passed within a period of ten days from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, it is found feasible to accept the request, the same will be accepted otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the said representation, which will be conveyed to the petitioners.

4. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No