



244

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14357 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.04.2026

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

BALDEV SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Goel, Senior Standing counsel and
Ms. Geetika Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners-UOI.

Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 32.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 12.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.33-Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') by which, original application filed by the petitioners has been allowed.

2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the writ is not maintainable here keeping in view the objection taken in the written statement that the order was passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal while on Circuit Bench and the same was passed at Shimla. Hence, this Court will not have the jurisdiction to entertain any challenge to such order which has been passed outside the jurisdiction of this Court and only the High Court of Himachal Pradesh will have the jurisdiction.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the objection raised by learned counsel for the respondents that the order was passed by the Circuit Bench in Shimla, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file afresh before the appropriate High Court having jurisdiction qua the order passed in Himachal Pradesh.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that liberty by given, in case the statement of learned counsel for the respondents that the impugned order was passed at Shimla and not at Chandigarh is found to be incorrect, to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

30.04.2026
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No