



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14380-2026
DECIDED ON: 08.05.2026**

JATIN

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHER

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Anuj Tanwar, Advocate for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus may kindly be issued in favour of the petitioner directing the official respondents to consider the claim of the present petitioner in view of the fact that that the detailed representation dated 18.03.2025 as Annexure P-5 which was served upon the official respondents has only been answered and has not been decided till now without appreciating the correct facts and circumstances and therefore, appropriate directions may kindly be issued the officials respondents to decide the said representation Annexure P-5 in a time bound manner especially in view of the fact that the petitioner has been thrown out of the job in the year 2010 and since then he is running from pillar to post for getting his job back, in the interest of justice and equity.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied in case a direction be issued to the respondent/UOI to decide the representation dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-5).
3. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, respondents/Competent Authority, is directed to decide the representation dated 18.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from today, and a copy of the said speaking order shall be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.
4. The petition in the aforesaid terms stands disposed off.

08.05.2026*Meenu***(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No**Whether reportable :Yes/No*