

CRM-M-26855-2026

-1-

**135 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26855-2026

Date of Decision: 13.05.2026

Baldev Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Dhan Singh

.....Respondent


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rajat Sheokand, Advocate,
Mr. Punit Verma, Advocate,
Mr. Parmish, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order dated 08.04.2026, passed by learned SDJM, Narwana in a complaint No.NACT/182/2024 dated 01.06.2024 titled as Dhan Singh Vs. Baldev Kumar, under Section 138 of the NI Act, 1881, whereby bail of the petitioner was cancelled and bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioner.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was undergoing medical problem and because of the medical reasons, he could not appear before the trial Court and even his counsel also could not appear before the Court and on account of the same, his bail was cancelled and his bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be summoned through warrants of arrest vide order dated 08.04.2026. He submits that absence of the petitioner was totally unintentional and due to the circumstances beyond his control. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court and abide



by all the terms and conditions, if any imposed by this Court.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it is evident that due to non-appearance of the petitioner, bail of the petitioner was cancelled and his bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and warrants of arrest have been issued vide order dated 08.04.2026. The Court without going into the authenticity of the ground taken for the absence of the petitioner, deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to appear before the Court concerned and to face the trial in the present case. In these circumstances, when the petitioner is ready to join the trial and face the proceedings, the order dated 08.04.2026, is set aside, subject to deposit of costs of Rs.25,000/- with the **Punjab and Haryana High Court Employees' Welfare Fund, Chandigarh**, by the petitioner within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and file an appropriate application alongwith receipt of costs of Rs.25,000/- and the trial Court would grant him bail till the disposal of the case on his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds. The petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period of ten days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The trial Court is free to impose any condition it likes on the petitioner while admitting him to bail.

5. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, this order would be of no avail to him and the order dated 08.04.2026 will come in force and the present petition shall be

deemed to have been dismissed.

6. Petition stands disposed of in abovesaid terms.

13.05.2026

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No