

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-14072-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision : May 29, 2026

CHINMAYI JAIN AND OTHERS

-PETITIONERS

V/S

BAR COUNCIL OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rupinder S. Khosla, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. H.S. Sethi, Advocate,
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Chirag Suri, Advocate,
Mr. Yogender Verma, Advocate,
Ms. Mankreet Sangar, Advocate,
Ms. Abadhya Singh, Advocate
Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, and
Mr. Rohan Moudgil, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harmeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondent- B.C.I.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Advocate,
Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate,
Ms. Mehak Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. Madhav Sinhal, Advocate, and
Mr. Gaurav Vats, Advocate
for the respondents No.3 and 4- NMIMS.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer clothed in the instant writ petition appertains to issuance of directions to respondents No.3 and 4 to permit the petitioners to appear in the examinations scheduled to commence from 05.05.2026 for the 8th Semester of the 4th Year of the B.B.A. LL.B. and B.A. LL.B. (Hons.)

programmes, in light of the petitioners’ medical condition and other grounds set forth in the writ petition.

2. The present writ petition was ordered to be heard conjointly with CWP-13058-2026.

3. During the course of hearing today, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 submitted that the attendance of each of the petitioners exceeds 60% in all subjects and, in most subjects, exceeds 70%. The subject-wise attendance of each of the petitioners is set out hereinbelow:-

Subject	Petitioner I	Petitioner II	Petitioner III
Environmental Law	76.67%	76.67%	75%
Intellectual Property Law	71.67%	66.67%	76.67%
International Humanitarian Law and Refuge	64.44%	64.44%	66.67%
International Trade Law	71.11%	77.78%	64.44%
Labour and Industrial Law II	71.11%	71.11%	75.56%
Media and Entertainment Law	80%	70%	70%
Principles of Taxation II	75.56%	73.33%	71.11%
Private International Law	71.67%	73.33%	70%

4. In view of the petitioners having secured more than 60% attendance in each subject, learned senior counsel for respondents No.3 and 4, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the respondents in the connected batch of petitions, submits that the respondents are willing to permit the petitioners to appear in the re-examinations scheduled to be held in July 2026.

5. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the concession extended by respondents No.3 and 4 permitting the petitioners to appear in the re-examinations scheduled in July 2026 is acceptable to the petitioners, and accordingly, he does not press the instant writ petition at this

stage.

6. In view of the above statement, the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

7. Pending applications stand disposed of accordingly.

May 29, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No