



CWP-13343-2015

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(211)

CWP-13343-2015

Date of Decision : 20.02.2026

Kamli

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum
Labour Court-II, Gurgaon and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The legality of award dated 02.09.2009 (Annexure P-2), as passed by learned Industrial Tribunal, Gurgaon, has been put to challenge, by filing the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while placing reliance upon the judgment passed in **CWP-31401-2025** and other connected matters, titled '**Lal Chand versus State of Haryana**', decided on 31.12.2025, submits that petitioner does fall within the ambits of workman, and this aspect has not been considered by learned Tribunal concerned, while answering the reference against her.

3. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, apprises this Court, that the issue as involved in the instant writ petition, has already been adjudicated by this Court in **CWP-13512-2015**, titled '**Koyli versus Presiding**



CWP-13343-2015

Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court-II, Gurgaon and others' decided on 02.02.2026, and therefore, the instant writ petition, is squarely covered with the judgment (supra).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly admits with regard to the decision rendered by this Court in *CWP-13512-2015*, titled '*Koyli versus Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court-II, Gurgaon and others'* decided on 02.02.2026.

5. In view of the above, the instant writ petition stands **dismissed** in term of the decision rendered in the judgment **Koyli (supra)**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No