



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5307-2026

Date of decision : 06.05.2026

Asma and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Salman Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.2 to 4 to provide adequate security to the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are living in relationship at the hands of respondents No.5 to 19, who are out to interfere into the life of the petitioners and extending the threats of elimination constantly.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of private respondents and have sought protection to their life and liberty. He submits that petitioner No.1 was earlier married to Sakir, who has been died, and she has custody of her four children. He also submits that petitioner No.2 was also earlier married to Khushnuma and has three children with him, and due to differences between them, petitioner No.2 is living separately from his wife. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 03.05.2026 (Annexure P-1) to respondents No.3 and



3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 4-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to decide the representation dated dated 03.05.2026 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

06.05.2026*m. sharma***(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No