



CRM-M-25940-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230

CRM-M-25940-2026
Date of decision : 13.05.2026

DALJEET SINGH @ WALLETI

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439 Cr.P.C.) seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.254 dated 20.09.2025, registered under Section 21(C) and 27 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station ANTF, District Bathinda.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with co-accused Manish Sharma, Ranvir Singh and Jatinder Singh, was travelling on two motorcycles. It is alleged that the petitioner was riding one motorcycle with co-accused Jatinder Singh as the pillion rider, whereas co-accused Manish Sharma was driving the another motorcycle with co-accused Ranvir Singh as pillion rider. Upon search, recovery of 229 grams of heroin was allegedly effected from the right pocket of the red jacket worn by co-accused Ranvir Singh.

**CRM-M-25940-2026****-2-**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and no recovery has been effected from his conscious possession. He also submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 07 months and 19 days and is not involved in other case. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time as only challan has been presented but charges are yet to be framed.

4. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court, which is taken on record. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He, upon instructions, submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 07 months and 19 days. He, upon instructions, submits that only challan has been presented but charges are yet to be framed.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 07 months and 19 days, nothing has been recovered from him, he is not involved in any other case and that the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude as only challan has been presented but charges are yet to be framed, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.



CRM-M-25940-2026

-3-

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

May 13, 2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No