



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

155

CRWP-5258-2026
Decided on : 05.05.2026

Parveena and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Parveena	Mohammad Aslam
Age (in years)	27 years	30 years
Date of marriage	04.05.2026	
Rite	Nikah	
Represented on	04.05.2026	
Submitted to	Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 8	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking



protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 04.05.2026 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to look into the representation dated 04.05.2026 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 05, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No