



**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5237-2026(O&M)  
Date of Decision : 19.05.2026**

Baljeet

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU**

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Viney Saini, AAG, Haryana

**MANDEEP PANNU J. (Oral)**

1. The jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been invoked for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for appointment of a Warrant Officer for the release of the detenues namely Jagroop son of the petitioner and Ajay son of Ajmer, who are alleged to be illegally detained by respondents No.2 to 10 or at any other place as may be pointed out by the petitioner.

2. On 04.05.2026, this Court directed the Registry to appoint a Warrant Officer, who shall visit the alleged places of



detention or any other place, as may be pointed out, by the petitioner.

He was directed to submit his report in this regard to the Court.

3. Report of the Warrant Officer dated 05.05.2026 has been received, which is taken on record. It has been stated therein that alleged detenues were not found in physical custody of the respondents at the time of search. Relevant portion of the report is extracted as under:-

*“In nut-shell, it is submitted that despite thorough search conducted at Police Station, Civil Lines, CIA Staff-I and CIA Detective Cell, Jind, the alleged detenues, namely Jagroop son of the petitioner and Ajay son of Ajmer were not found in physical custody at the exact time of the search.”*

4. Thereafter, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the detenues have been released, however, they were subjected to torture and merciless beatings by officials of CIA-2, Jind and he has placed on record their affidavits in this regard.

5. Learned State counsel submits that since the alleged detenues have already been released, the present habeas petition does not survive.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts, when both the alleged detenues have been released, the very substratum of the present habeas corpus petition ceases to survive and accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, petitioner shall be at liberty to take recourse to any other remedy,

