



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.332

CR-2312-2020

Date of Decision: 30.04.2026

LEKH RAJ AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

BHIM SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

None for respondents No.5 and 6.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (ORAL)

Taken up on the mentioning made by the counsel for respondents No.2 to 4. Case has been called twice since morning. However, none has made appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

At this stage, counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that the suit i.e. CS/25/2019, titled '*Lekh Raj Vs. Bheem Singh etc.*', has since been dismissed for want of prosecution by learned trial Court, vide order dated 10.03.2026. The counsel has also supplied copy of the said order, which is taken on record.

The present revision petition has been filed to assail the order passed by learned trial Court, on an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2



CPC, which was an offshoot of the aforesaid civil suit, which has since been dismissed in default.

Even, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid fact situation, the revision petition is hereby dismissed as having become infructuous.

30.04.2026
Himanshu Vats

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No