



333 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17872-2005 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.02.2026

Tripat Kaur		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab and Others		...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of orders whereby respondent has ordered to decline dearness allowance on family pension and effect recovery with respect to already paid dearness allowance.

2. Learned State counsel submits that issue involved herein is no more *res integra* and stands settled by Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No.891 of 2003**. The Court has ordered not to recover amount already paid, however, denial of dearness allowance in future has been upheld.

3. In the instant case, by order dated 20.04.2006 recovery was stayed.

4. In the backdrop, order dated 20.04.2006 staying recovery is hereby made absolute, however, denial of dearness allowance on family pension in future stands upheld.

5. ***Disposed of.***

6. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.02.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No