213 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-2032-2024
DECIDED ON: 19.01.2026

RAJEEV JINDAL AND ANOTHER

…..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

AJAY BANSAL AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. CWP-1990-2021 was disposed of by a Co-ordinate Bench vide order dated 16.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) and the following directions were passed:-

> ***"17. In the wake of above facts and findings, this Court finds that action of BOG was not as per rule of law, thus, it was in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The impugned order dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-10) deserves to be set aside and accordingly is hereby set aside qua the petitioners and respondents are directed to consider recommendations of the Selection Committee and pass appropriate orders within 3 months from today."***

2. Concededly, pursuant to the aforesaid directions, a speaking order dated 10.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) was passed, vide which the petitioners were granted pre-revised grade pay scale of Rs.10,500/- from the date of approval of the Board of Governors, i.e. 30.08.2024.

3. The grievance of the petitioners is that the said benefit should have been given from the date of recommendation of the Selection Committee, i.e. 01.02.2020.

MAHIMA
2026.01.22 09:27
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh

4. Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from petitioner No.1 (Dr. Rajeev Jindal) and the husband of petitioner No.2 (Professor Arvind Kumar), who are present in Court, submits that the petitioners do not wish to press the instant contempt petition and shall, if so advised, avail all such other remedies as admissible in law against the said decision.

5. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed off as not having been pressed.

6. Pending applications if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

19.01.2026
mahima

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No

MAHIMA
2026.01.22 09:27
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh