



**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13996-2026

Date of Decision : 06.05.2026

YUDHBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Angraze Singh Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

**Mr. Maninder Singh Garcha, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Mehak Kanwar, Advocate and
Ms. Priyanka Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Municipal Corporation.**

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is qua the Notification dated 16.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) with regard to Reservation of Wards of the Municipal Council, Ajnala that the respondents have illegally and arbitrarily reserved the wards for Castes/Backward Schedule Classes/Women Category for the upcoming election of Municipal Council, Ajnala.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to file a representation for considering his objection to the Notification dated 16.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) qua Reservation of Wards of the Municipal Council, Ajnala, and requests that the same be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Ms. Mehak Kanwar, Assisting Counsel of Mr. Maninder Singh Garcha, Senior Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3-Municipal Council and submits that in case any representation is reduced into writing and submitted within a period of **24 hours**, the same will be taken into consideration and also will be decided by the competent authority by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file an appropriate representation within 24 hours.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

06-05-2026
Sapna Goyal

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO