



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

133

CWP-13995-2026

Date of decision : 06.05.2026

Ravi Dutt

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-2), issued by respondent No.3, whereby the petitioner, a person with benchmark locomotor disability, has been transferred to a far-off station involving daily travel of approximately 215-230 kms.. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and accommodate the petitioner in accordance with the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 by providing a posting at or near his place of residence.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of his grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 27.04.2026 (Annexure P-6) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order,



CWP-13995-2026

-2-

in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation dated 27.04.2026 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.
7. Till the decision taken by the respondent No.3 on the abovesaid representation, the impugned order dated 24.04.2026 (Annexure P-2) shall not be given effect to.

06.05.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No