



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-14197-2026

Date of Decision: 07.05.2026

Jyoti Garg

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. B.S. Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 08.08.2024, Annexure P-8, whereby the petitioner's representation seeking permission to rejoin as Eligible Extension Lecturer (Botany) has been rejected. Further, a direction has been sought to the respondents to permit her to rejoin as such.

2. Learned counsel contended that the petitioner was engaged as ineligible Extension Lecturer in October, 2015, and applied for maternity leave from 25.01.2021 to 25.07.2021, which was granted to her. Later, she cleared National Eligibility Test (NET) on 29.11.2022, Annexure P-9, and accordingly became eligible to be appointed as Extension Lecturer. Her representation to that effect has been rejected vide the impugned order.

3. Learned State counsel pointed out that the petitioner left the service on her own without any intimation to the College concerned. Her case for re-engagement was duly considered by the Department and rejected vide



impugned speaking order on the ground that she never worked as eligible Extension Lecturer for ninety days in one academic year and was not relieved from service for want of workload etc. Also, she left the job without intimation to the College. This rendered her disentitled to engagement.

4. Considering the submissions, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition for the reason, learned counsel has not been able to show any violation of the applicable re-engagement policy, dated 04.03.2020 as amended from time to time, by the respondents in declining the petitioner's prayer seeking permission to rejoin as Extension Lecturer. Merely because she obtained eligibility after clearing NET examination on 29.11.2022, would not entitle her to re-engagement in view of the undisputed facts that she worked in the Department only as ineligible Extension Lecturer and left the job on her own.

5. Dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

07.05.2026

Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No