

2026:PHHC:075099



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CRM-M-26153-2026
Date of decision: 13.05.2026

GURJANT SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Harmanjit Singh Jugait, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Lekhi, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.271 dated 02.12.2022, under Section 306 of IPC (Section 108 of BNS) registered at Police Station State Sadar Samana, District Patiala.
2. Learned Senior Counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for the last 21 days. Although he was named in the FIR but during investigation, he was found innocent and was put in column No.2 of the final report. However, on an application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C., he has been summoned as an additional accused; no role is attributed to him; challan already stands presented on 02.01.2024; charges against him are yet to be framed; not involved in any other case.
3. Learned State Counsel opposes the bail on the ground that the trial Court has rightly passed the impugned order and there appears no infirmity in the said order. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage, charges having not been framed and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 21 days; summoned as additional accused; not involved in any other case; charges having not been framed as yet; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No