



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12794-2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 20.01.2026

Maman .....Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Gurgaon  
and another .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.** (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned State counsel informs this Court that the petitioner-workman has since died, during the pendency of the instant writ petition. However, till date, his legal representatives have not been brought on record to continue with the *lis*.
2. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions.
3. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option, but to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.
4. **Dismissed for want of prosecution.** However, in case any cause of action still survives, the legal representatives of the deceased-petitioner are at liberty to move an apt application/motion for revival of the writ petition.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.01.2026**  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |