



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14942-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision:07.05.2026

Isham Singh KashyapPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Arjun Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate, and
Mr. Vicky Chauhan, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 and 4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by instituting the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, assails the notice dated 09.09.2020 (Annexure P-12), vide which, he was directed to vacate the residential accommodation allotted to him, failing which, a penalty of 300 times of the licence fee will be charged.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had vacated the house in question way back on 14.01.2022, therefore, the petition has been rendered infructuous.
5. In view of the above, the petition is **dismissed, as having been rendered infructuous.**

[

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

07.05.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No