



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CWP-13829-2026

Date of Decision: 05.05.2026

ABHISHEK RATTAN PAL

...Petitioner

Vs.

**STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION AND
OTHERS**

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. J.S. Mundi, Advocate for the petitioner

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 21.04.2026 whereby respondent No.1-State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Chandigarh (for short 'State Commission') has dismissed his appeal as barred by limitation. He is further seeking direction to respondent No.1 to hear and decide FA No.74 of 2026 together with Review Application No.08 of 2026. He is also seeking stay of operation of order dated 21.04.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that State Commission has dismissed petitioner's appeal without granting him just and fair opportunity to put forth his stand.

3. On being asked, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert that impugned order is an appealable order and appeal lies before National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission.

4. The petitioner can raise all the issues before National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission. This Court does not find any exceptional or compelling reason to invoke its writ jurisdiction.
5. Dismissed.
6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

May 05, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No