



116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13879-2026

Date of decision: 05.05.2026

Koshalya alias Kaushalya Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 6.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release the family pension w.e.f. 01.01.2022 with 18% per annum being entitled as per the provisions of Family Pension Scheme, 1964 and being fully eligible under Rules 3 & 5 (2) of The Haryana to the Compassionate Assistance Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 which deals with her eligibility. Further, directing the respondents to grant release the family pension to the petitioner w.e.f. 01.01.2022 in pursuance to the office order dated 20.04.201 (Annexure P-4) being entitled for the family pension under Rule 3 & 5(2) of Rules, 2006 and Family Pension Scheme, 1964 and also to decide representation dated 11.02.2026 (Annexure P-5) moved by the present petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the case



CWP-13879-2026

-2-

of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.23933 of 2014 titled as ***Neha Gupta Vs. State of Haryana and others*** decided on 12.04.2016 (Annexure P-6). The petitioner is entitled to family pension from 01.01.2022 under Rule 3 and Rule 5(2) of the Haryana to the Compassionate Assistance Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 and Family Pension Scheme, 1964. The respondents have neither taken any action nor granted family pension. A detailed representation dated 11.02.2026 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted but no action has been taken so far.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has limited his prayer to the extent that the present petition be treated as a comprehensive representation and the same be decided in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order after affording the petitioner an opportunity of being heard.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate puts in appearance and accepts on behalf of respondents No.2 to 6 and submits that the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition would be considered by passing a speaking order in accordance with the law by respondent No.2.

6. Therefore, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 is directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the claim of the petitioner in light of the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.23933 of 2014 titled as ***Neha Gupta Vs. State of Haryana and others*** decided on 12.04.2016 (Annexure P-6) and also in light of Rule 3

**CWP-13879-2026****-3-**

and Rule 5(2) of the Haryana to the Compassionate Assistance Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 and Family Pension Scheme, 1964 and pass a speaking order after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

05.05.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No