



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRM-M-25719-2026

Date of Decision: 22.05.2026

PARVEJ

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present : Dr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (corresponding Section 439 of Cr.PC.) praying for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.88 dated 29.03.2026, under Sections 140(3), 137(2) and 96 of the BNS (corresponding to Sections 365, 361 and 366-A of IPC) registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a young boy of 20 years and it is a case of adolescence love in which the prosecutrix-victim is just 17 years of age. Learned counsel submits that the victim always maintained herself to be a major and left her parental home on her own accord and subsequently she had joined the company of the petitioner. Furthermore, there is no allegation of any sexual assault or the victim having been subjected to any atrocities.

3. Learned State counsel has opposed the grant of concession of bail to the petitioner by submitting that the victim was minor at the time of alleged incident and has not yet attained the age of majority. Learned State



counsel further submits that there is a reasonable apprehension that the petitioner, if granted liberty, may approach the victim, exert undue influence and thereby prejudice the integrity of the evidence.

4. Learned State counsel has also filed custody certificate and as per which, petitioner is in custody for last 01 month and 14 days.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties at length and have gone through the record carefully.

6. Considering the fact that victim has been sent back to her home in the custody of her parents from Balkunj Chhachhrauli, Yamuna Nagar vide order of even date passed in CRWP-3952-2026 and the petitioner is a young boy of 20 years, and there is no allegation of any sexual assault and has clean antecedents and the trial is likely to take considerable time, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Accordingly, the petitioner is held entitled to the concession of regular bail.

7. In light of above, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition stands allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, if not required in any other case, on furnishing bail bonds and **heavy surety** to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The petitioner shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
- ii. The petitioner will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.



- iii. The petitioner will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.
- iv. The petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also. However, in case the petitioner does not possess a passport, then he shall file an undertaking to the said effect before being released.
- v. **The petitioner and any of his family member/relative shall not try to contact the victim and her family members or her friends in any manner whatsoever or intimidate them.**

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

- 8. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be considered as parity qua any other co-accused in any manner whatsoever.
- 9. It is further made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of conditions of bail.
- 10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

22.05.2026
Deepak Patwal

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |