

2026:PHHC:068214



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

136

CWP-13756-2026

Date of decision: May 04, 2026

GAGAN BAHADUR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Ankur Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to permit the petitioner to resume his duties against the post of Lecturer (Economics) in the respondent-Department. The second prayer is to direct the respondents to decide his legal notice/representation dated 20.12.2025, Annexure P-14, in a specified period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner was offered appointment as Lecturer in Economics (HES-II) in a Government Senior Secondary School vide office order dated 14.05.2012, Annexure P-2. Later, he was asked to join Aarohi Model Senior Secondary School, Gadpuri, Palwal, and he did so by submitting his joining report dated 31.05.2012, Annexure P-4. However, on account of certain unavoidable domestic issues, he could not continue working in the Department and had to leave the job. Thereafter, he submitted a representation dated 17.01.2015, Annexure P-5, requesting the respondents

to permit him to rejoin the service but no action was taken, nor was any decision conveyed to him in response to his repeated representations including the aforementioned legal notice. Therefore, the petitioner should be permitted to rejoin and/or his pending legal notice may be ordered to be decided.

3. Considering the submissions, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition for the reason the petitioner is approaching the Court after an inordinate delay of more than ten years, without any explanation. Mere submission of representations is not sufficient to condone this fatal delay. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also not been able to refer to any document establishing for how long the petitioner actually worked as Lecturer after submitting his joining report dated 31.05.2012, nor has it been shown as to what were the pressing unavoidable circumstances that made him leave the job. Still further, no rule or regulation has been referred to which entitles the petitioner to rejoin service after over a decade of disappearing.

4. In view thereof, finding no ground to interfere, the petition stands dismissed.

May 04, 2026

Jaspreet Kaur

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*