



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-4170-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2026

KAPIL

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR


Present : Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. This revision petition is directed against the order dated 02.08.2017 vide which the trial has been committed to the Court of Sessions for trial.

2. The police while filing the final report had dropped the offence under Section 307 of IPC and the challan was filed only for the offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 285 of IPC. However, the learned Magistrate came to the conclusion that a *prima facie* case for the offence punishable under Section 307 of IPC is made out and committed the case to the Court of Sessions. However, the revision petition could have been instituted before the Court of Sessions against the impugned order and faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner has made a statement that he withdraws the petition. However, he may be



given liberty to challenge the impugned order before the Court of Sessions.

3. Accordingly, the petition in hand is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

4. It is made clear that the period spent in availing the remedy before this Court shall be excluded while computing the period of limitation by the Court of Sessions in case, any revision petition is filed.

5. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No