



CRWP-5180-2026

1

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5180-2026

Date of Decision: 05.05.2026

ARTI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Lalit Chander Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 9 not to harass or interfere in the life of the petitioner as the petitioner is in a live in relationship with one Sehajdeep Singh and they are facing threats from respondents No.4 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in a *live in* relationship with Sehajdeep Singh. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioner as they averse to her *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 27.04.2026 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Amritsar Rural seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police,

**CRWP-5180-2026****2**

District Amritsar Rural to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Amritsar Rural to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

**05.05.2026***poonam***(H.S.GREWAL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned :  
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No  
Yes/No