



CWP-13950-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CWP-13950-2026

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Vibhuti Naranja, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 22.01.2026 (Annexure P-10) which respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot has passed contrary to *status quo* orders dated 10.11.2025 & 16.04.2026 passed by learned Civil Court, Junior Division, Pathankot. He is further seeking direction to respondents to constitute a Special Investigation Team ('SIT') to conduct independent & fair investigation and reconsider his representation dated 04.12.2025 afresh. He is also seeking compensation for the loss caused to his crops by private respondents.

2. The petitioner is a 74 years' old senior citizen. As per petition, he is in cultivating possession of the subjected land situated in Village Sundar Chak, District Pathankot. A civil dispute is pending before Civil Court. The suit relates to land in question and it is between petitioner and his brothers. Civil Court vide order dated 10.11.2025 has ordered to maintain

**CWP-13950-2026****-2-**

status quo. He filed criminal writ petition before this Court which was disposed of with a direction to respondents to decide his representation. Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot vide order dated 22.01.2026 has adjudicated disputed questions of possession and title which are sub-judice.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order dated 22.01.2026 has been passed by Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot despite existence of order of Civil Court whereby parties have been directed to maintain *status quo*.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

5. From the perusal of record, it is evident that Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot in the impugned order has observed that matter is already pending before Civil Court and decision is awaited. Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot has not commented upon rights and liabilities of the parties. If the petitioner feels that his rights are likely to be affected, he is bound to approach Civil Court where the matter is already pending. This Court cannot interfere when the matter is civil in nature and is already pending before Civil Court.

6. In the wake of above discussion and findings, this Court is of the considered opinion that present petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No