



233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24950-2026**Date of Decision: 20.05.2026**

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

VS.

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. P.P., for U.T., Chandigarh with
Mr. Hardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
Ms. Ojasvi, Advocate
for the respondent-State.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.126, dated 17.12.2025, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1).

2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had offered that he was ready to amicably resolve all the dispute with respondent No.2 and to show his bona fides, he would bring a demand draft of Rs.7,00,000/- on the next date of hearing.

3. Today, during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is unable to produce the demand draft of



Rs.7,00,000/-. Thus, conduct on the part of the petitioner disentitles him from the concession of anticipatory bail. Even otherwise, I have carefully perused the record with the assistance of learned counsel for the parties and find that there are serious and specific allegations against the present petitioner. The petitioner is, in fact, the main accused and had also received various amounts in his bank account.

4. Thus, keeping in view the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioner as well as his conduct, the present petition deserves to be dismissed.

5. Ordered accordingly.

20.05.2026
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No