



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127+130+131+135 (4 cases)

CWP-14435-2026
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

Surender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra and another

...Respondents

With

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner(s)	Respondent(s)
2.	CWP-14442-2026	Atam Parkash	Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra and another
3.	CWP-14446-2026	Madan Lal	Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra and another
4.	CWP-14487-2026	Parmanand	Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra and another

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Shalini Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
(in all petitions)

Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *CWP No.14435 of 2026*.
2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to authorities concerned to issue corrected sale deed by omitting the word ‘*refused*’ and remarks



regarding title, as the same is contrary to the provisions of Registration Act, 1908.

3. The petitioner with respect to Shop No.186 executed a rent agreement with Municipal Council, Thanesar in 1995 and since then is in possession. The Department of Urban Local Bodies, Government of Haryana issued notification dated 01.06.2021 whereby Municipal Bodies were granted liberty to transfer title of their properties to persons legally possessing the same for the last more than 20 years. Municipal Council, Thanesar, in view of notification, demanded requisite amount from the petitioner. He deposited the same. Respondent No.2 initially registered the sale deed in the favour of petitioner but returned the same writing '*refused*' along with multiple remarks. He approached Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra by way of representation dated 06.04.2026 but to no avail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Sub-Registrar cannot look into the title and he is bound to register proposed land in favour of petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel submits that subjected land does not belong to Municipal Council, Thanesar, thus, Authorities by impugned order refused to transfer in petitioner's favour.

6. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

7. The petitioner is claiming that he is pursuing the matter since 2023 and has filed multiple representations. It is not a case of sale between two parties whereas it is a case of transfer of ownership by Government with respect to land owned by it.

**CWP-14435-2026 & connected matters****-3-**

8. In the backdrop, respondent No.1-Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra is directed to consider policy as well as petitioners' right and thereafter pass an appropriate order within two months from today.

9. Disposed of in above terms.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No