



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13586-2026

Date of decision: 04.05.2026

Vina Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate,
Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate and
Ms. Simran Kaur Minhas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, *mandamus* or any other nature thereby directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner in view of the regularization policy of the Haryana Government and further to pay the consequential benefits to the petitioner from the due date.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the Government of Haryana formulated a policy for regularization of casual/daily wage employees who had completed three years of service as of June 2014. Similar policies had also been framed earlier. It is further contended that several employees junior to the petitioner, who joined service later, have been regularized, whereas, the petitioner's claim has not been considered, amounting to hostile discrimination.

**CWP-13586-2026****-2-**

2.2 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording her an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.3.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.05.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No