



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(146-1) CWP-13902-2026
Date of decision: 06.05.2026
SHASHIKANT SEJWAR ...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN AND OTHERS
...Respondents

(146-2) CWP-13903-2026
SHASHIKANT SEJWAR ...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN AND OTHERS
...Respondents

(146-3) CWP-13904-2026
HIMANSHU SHARMA ...Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN AND OTHERS
...Respondents

(146-4) CWP-13925-2026
SANJEEV VERMA AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

VERSUS

HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN AND OTHERS
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. Aadil Singh Boparai, Advocate,
Mr. Gurlabh S. Bhaika Sidhu, Advocate,
Mr. Chirag Dahiya, Advocate and
Mr. Ankit Jangra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in all the cases.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State in all the cases.



SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of all the above noted writ petitions as they involve a similar dispute. For the sake of convenience, facts are being taken from CWP-13902-2026.

2. This petition has been filed, inter-alia, for issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus, for direction to respondents to execute the conveyance deed in favour of petitioner pertaining to Plot No.46, Sector 80, Urban Estate, Faridabad, as well as to handover the vacant possession of the said property, which was allotted to petitioner vide letter dated 02.07.2023, Annexure P-2.

3. Counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, a communication, dated 01.10.2025, Annexure P-7 has been received, from the office of Chief Administrator, HSVP, whereby the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad, has been directed to immediately handover possession of the site. Counsel asserts that acquisition of the land in favour of HSVP has been upheld by this Court vide judgment, dated 16.05.2019, passed in CWP-8346-2009, against which SLP has been rejected by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 18.11.2019. He has relied upon order dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure P-6) passed in similar circumstances.

4. Per contra, counsel for respondents have opposed the prayer by stating that the possession cannot be handed over, because of the pendency of CWP-8525-2009, wherein by order dated 29.05.2009, this Court has directed that the status quo be maintained with regard to possession. He has instructions to state that the said writ petition is pending before this Court on 07.05.2026 and its hearing is being expedited on request made by the State.

5. State counsel has requested for deferment of the proceedings, which is rejected. No useful purpose would be served in keeping these



petitions pending, merely because there is a status quo order in a connected petition, which is pending for the last more than 16 years.

6. Be that as it may, since clear cut instructions have been issued by the Chief Administrator, writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents to handover the vacant possession of the plot to petitioner(s) within a period of four months from today, unless there is any legal impediment in doing so.

7. As the main cases have been decided, all pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No