

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.069990



(112)

CRWP-5168-2026

Decided on : 06.05.2026

Date of uploading: 06.05.2026

Meenakshi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Ms. Divya Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“Issue a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents no.2 to 5 to protect life and the liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of the private respondents who are regularly the harassing and threatening petitioner and her family members and are giving regular life threats to the petitioner and her family members.

Further issue appropriate direction to the respondent No.2 to assess the life threat of the petitioner and family members and the her petitioner shall be granted appropriate police protection/security for the petitioner and her family members.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that she would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.5 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 11.04.2023 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 11.04.2023 (Annexure P-2), is directed for.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

- (i) Respondent No.5 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural) is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter.
- (ii) No order as to costs.

06.05.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No